LAW OFFICES BLOOSTON, MORDKOFSKY, DICKENS, DUFFY & PRENDERGAST, LLP

2120 L STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20037

JOHN A. PRENDERGAST

GERARD J. DUFFY

RICHARD D. RUBINO

MARY J. SISAK

D. CARY MITCHELL

AFFILIATED SOUTH AMERICAN OFFICES

ESTUDIO JAUREGUI & ASSOCIATES

BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA
HAROLD MORDKOFSKY

OF COUNSEL

EUGENE MALISZEWSKYJENGINEERING CONSULTANT

writer's contact information bhd@bloostonlaw.com 202-828-5510

ARTHUR BLOOSTON 1914 – 1999

BENJAMIN H. DICKENS, JR.

SALVATORE TAILLEFER, JR.

October 5, 2018

VIA ECFS

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Re: Notice of *Ex Parte* Presentation in WC Docket Nos. 10-90; 14-58; 07-135; and CC Docket No. 01-92.

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On October 3, Peñasco Valley Telephone Cooperative, Inc. (PVT) met with Jay Schwarz of Chairman Pai's Office. Glenn Lovelace, CEO; Kurt Garrard, CFO; Ian Brumana, Controller; Mary Sisak, counsel; and the undersigned attended the meeting on behalf of PVT. In the meeting, PVT discussed the impact of the Budget Control Mechanism (BCM) and the Rural Growth Factor (RGF) on companies like PVT, as well as various proposals in the Commission's proceeding on Rate-of-Return reform.

PVT provided a description of its service area, highlighting the incredibly sparse population (1/2 person per square mile) and the extremely high cost associated with laying fiber (averaging \$100,000 per mile, and up to \$150,000 per mile in rocky terrain). PVT estimated it would cost approximately \$15 million to bring 25/3 service to 75% of its customers and 25/1 service to 90% of its customers. However, PVT estimated that it would cost approximately \$30 million to bring 25/3 service to 90% of its customers.

PVT discussed Attachment A and detailed the current and potential future impact of the BCM and the RGF on PVT and other carriers, if left unchecked. PVT also discussed certain proposals in the record on how to improve the Commission's funding mechanisms and their

.

¹ Attachment A.

impact on PVT. To this end, PVT strongly supported a threshold support level of 95%, as adjusted for inflation,² as it would allow the company to meet its loan obligations and to continue building out broadband. PVT also expressed support for the NTCA proposal to use a three-year trailing average.³ PVT stated that the 90% threshold proposed by WTA⁴ would only enable PVT to maintain, not expand, its network. PVT also discussed the proposals to eliminate the RGF entirely,⁵ or to modify it to remove the line-loss factor,⁶ and suggested freezing it at the 2016 level.

Pursuant to Section 1.1206 of the Commission's rules, a copy of this letter is being filed via ECFS. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Sincerely,

Benjamin H. Dickens, Jr.

Counsel to

Peñasco Valley Telephone Cooperative, Inc.

CC: Jay Schwarz

_

² Comments of the Blooston Rural Carriers, WC Docket No. 10-90, et. al., at p. 8 (filed May 25, 2018).

³ Comments of NTCA-The Rural Broadband Association, WC Docket No. 10-90, et. al., at p.36 (filed May 25, 2018).

⁴ Comments of WTA – Advocates for Rural Broadband, WC Docket No. 10-90, et. al., at p. 8 (filed May 25, 2018).at p. 3.

⁵ Blooston Rural Carriers at p. 8-9; Comments of the Concerned Rural LECs, WC Docket No. 10-90, et. al., at pp. 2-3 (filed May 25, 2018); Comments of the Small Carrier Coalition, WC Docket No. 10-90, et. al., at pp. 2-3 (filed May 25, 2018)(proposing to eliminate the BCM and the RGF and building a new budget from the ground up).

⁶ Reply Comments of GVNW Consulting, Inc., WC Docket No. 10-90, et. al., at p. 19 (filed June 25, 2018).

Gross Potential Impact of RGF + BCM

High Cost Loop Support at \$647.87 NACPL

\$	1,000,000
J)	1,000,000

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
	2017	2010	2017	2020	2021	2022	2025	2024	2023	2020
HCLS Prorata Adj Factor *Trending us annual deci		76.06%	70.36%	65.08%	60.20%	55.68%	51.51%	47.64%	44.07%	40.77%
Adjusted HCLS \$1 Million Before Budget Control Mechanism	822,269	760,599	703,554	650,787	601,978	556,830	515,068	476,438	440,705	407,652
BCM 9%	748,265	692,145	640,234	592,216	547,800	506,715	468,712	433,559	401,042	370,963
BCM 25%	616,702	570,449	527,666	488,090	451,484	417,623	386,301	357,329	330,529	305,739



